

HOPED FOR HELP FROM TAFT

BUT HE WENT AND BORROWED
JOB HEDGES TO WORK IN OHIO.Chairman Prentice Publishes an Optimistic
Verdict. Mayor Gaynor Going to
hear Dix Tonight—Wall Street Gains
on Appeal for Cash for Stimson.

Charles D. Norton, President Taft's secretary, came to town yesterday and immediately the Republican campaign managers began to look mysterious and suspicious. The corners of their mouths were drawn down and they all seemed to be waiting for the word from Mr. Taft. They were all waiting for the word from Mr. Taft. They were all waiting for the word from Mr. Taft.

Mr. Norton, who was at the Hotel Hamilton, had nothing to say on this or any other political topic. He had a talk with Mr. Stimson in the morning and a chat with Mr. Prentice in the afternoon. He told Mr. Stimson that the President was anxious to know how things were going and Mr. Stimson told him that the President might feel assured of a substantial plurality for the State ticket. He asked Prentice to lend the King's Jester to the hard pressed Ohio Republicans, saying that it was President Taft's wish that Mr. Hedges would find time to speak three times in Ohio, next Thursday, Friday and Saturday, and the State chairman replied that the situation looks so good that Mr. Hedges can be spared. So Judge Job will talk in Columbus to-morrow night, in several towns on the road to Cincinnati on Friday and Friday night and in Cincinnati Saturday night.

While everybody was mum as to whether Mr. Norton had brought word from Mr. Taft as to a further endorsement of the ticket by the President, or as to whether Mr. Norton was asked to come here to listen to what the leaders had to say on the subject, it was generally believed that Mr. Prentice and President Grismom of the county committee told Norton that the situation is critical and that more help from Mr. Taft is necessary. In the early stages of the campaign the Republican managers were saying that they wouldn't need a letter from the President endorsing Stimson, but the preliminary canvasses up State have caused a change of opinion. They would need a letter from the President endorsing Stimson, but the preliminary canvasses up State have caused a change of opinion. They would need a letter from the President endorsing Stimson, but the preliminary canvasses up State have caused a change of opinion.

The one really cheerful figure at Republican State headquarters is Chairman Prentice. Prentice is the boss optimist. He tells you that Republicans are kicking the wagon to pieces up State, but that nobody need worry. Roosevelt is carrying half the Democrats in New York city. Yesterday he gave out an estimate based on the detailed reports from the up-State canvasses printed in THE SUN on Monday and reports which indicated a sweeping victory for John A. Dix. That report of conditions sent a chill up the backs of some Republicans, but Optimistic Ezra extracts Republican hope and Stimson cheer from it. He argues that on the basis of THE SUN'S reports Stimson will have a plurality of 107,000 above The Rock. Here's the way Prentice figures it.

Thirty-one counties for which definite figures are given by THE SUN gave Mr. Hedges in 1908 a majority of 63,279. These thirty-one counties, according to definite figures in THE SUN, will give to Mr. Stimson a majority of 63,652, a falling off of 373 or 82 per cent.

Thirteen counties in which THE SUN states that the Republican majorities will be reduced gave to Mr. Hedges in 1908 a majority of 27,259. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority will be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Then counties not mentioned by THE SUN at all gave Mr. Hedges a majority of 22,222. At the same time the Republican majorities in the thirty-one counties mentioned by THE SUN Mr. Stimson's majority would be 25,015.

Give
Yourself
Head Barber
Shaves

Out of the head barber's chair there's but one place to get head barber shaves; i. e., under the blade of an AutoStop Safety Razor. It's the same sliding, smart-less shave the man at the first chair gives you.

No taking apart to sharpen or clean. Renewed sharp edge by automatic stropping—the only razor that does.

Get one to-day at lunch time. Try it to-morrow morning, if for twenty-nine more mornings, if you wish. If your shaves are not like the head barber gives, take razor back. Dealer will refund your money. He has a contract with us (or can get one) protecting him from loss.

Are you going to put off trying the AutoStop Razor?

AutoStop
SAFETY
RAZOR
Gives Head Barber Shaves

Go to our demonstrating shop,
127 Fifth Avenue—near 37th
Street—and try it. No charge.
Private shaving booths.

Mr. Dix will be the principal speaker. The Mayor intends to be at the meeting if he can make it, but he said yesterday that he did not intend to be drawn into speaking, even to the extent of "a few remarks." The meeting, which is to be under the auspices of the Independent Business Men's League, of which Herman Ridder is president, is the star event of the Democratic campaign. The features will be speeches by Mr. Dix and President M. Woodley Strover of Hamilton College and Mayor Gaynor's letter.

Martin W. Littleton got a rise out of Henry L. Stimson by the accusation that Stimson participated in Morse's fight while prosecuting Morse. Mr. Stimson got out a statement yesterday which said that Mr. Littleton's ethical standards were as confused as his convicted client's. Mr. Littleton defended Morse. Stimson says that the firm of Winthrop & Stimson took Morse's case, but when it was discovered that Morse was a plunderer and a deceiver no canon of morality stood in the way of one of the firm prosecuting him.

Republicans uptown insist that they can't find anybody who is willing to let considerable sums on Dix's 2 to 1. They say they have gone to Wall Street without being able to smoke out any big Dix money. Chairman Prentice of the State committee and President Grismom of the county committee say they sent to Wall Street to see if they could locate the good thing, but their messengers reported nothing doing. On the other hand downtown brokers say they are handling quite a bit of money at the prevailing odds, 2 to 1, and that now and then a small bet is placed at 5 to 2. Most of yesterday's betting was at 2 to 1. About \$20,000, it was estimated, was placed at those odds. There were a few wagers of \$2,000 to \$1,000 and of \$1,000 to \$500. Occasionally the Dix brokers loaned out a rifle and a 2 to 1 bet of \$20 to \$1. The only plurality betting of any consequence was one wager of \$2,500 even that Dix would have a plurality of 50,000. Uptown around the big hotels the brokers were offering 2 to 1 on small amounts and 10 to 7 for large amounts. Several plurality bets were made, even money that Stimson would have 50,000 plurality outside of Greater New York—not that arithmetically 100,000.

The Columbia University students tried their hands at straw voting yesterday. The poll showed 420 for Stimson, 250 for Dix, 64 for Popper and 35 for Charles Edward Russell. The prohibitionists were ruled out of the canvass, the tellers declaring that they wouldn't have time to count. Prohibition last year Chanler had less than half what Dix polled yesterday, and Hughes had a few more than Stimson got.

Folk who have been described by Col. Roosevelt as "the tickler crowd," and "bucketshop men" got an appeal for cash from Republican State Chairman Prentice yesterday. A laugh ran around the habitations of the wicked when Prentice's circular arrived. It was dated October 31 and asked each of the "gamblers" to come in with \$50 to help Sergeant Harry Stimson.

Julius Harburger, inventor of the spot method for Corsettes, and leader of the Tenth's legion, is boiling up and down Second Avenue with wrath against Roosevelt. In one of the East Side Napoleon's mildest moments he described the Colonel thus: "He is a sycophant, hypocrite, faker, assassin of character, traitor and withal a man of ability." Julius says the Colonel has a giant head, but that it will show, if ever examined, a vein and trace of paranoiacal tendencies. Also Harburger finds that Roosevelt is a Quixotic statesman with Talleyrandish principles.

The Citizens Union has made extensive preparations for the mass meeting at Cooper Union to-night in support of the candidacy of Supreme Court Justice Edward B. Whitney for reelection. The list of speakers includes Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Some of the men who want to see Justice Whitney kept on the bench and who will say so to-night are Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Frederic R. Condit, the Rev. Dr. Thomas R. Slater, Hamilton Holt, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia, Dr. Felix Adler, Robert W. De Forest, Isaac N. Seligman and Francis Lynde Stetson.

The list of speakers includes Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Some of the men who want to see Justice Whitney kept on the bench and who will say so to-night are Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Frederic R. Condit, the Rev. Dr. Thomas R. Slater, Hamilton Holt, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia, Dr. Felix Adler, Robert W. De Forest, Isaac N. Seligman and Francis Lynde Stetson.

The list of speakers includes Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Some of the men who want to see Justice Whitney kept on the bench and who will say so to-night are Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Frederic R. Condit, the Rev. Dr. Thomas R. Slater, Hamilton Holt, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia, Dr. Felix Adler, Robert W. De Forest, Isaac N. Seligman and Francis Lynde Stetson.

The list of speakers includes Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Some of the men who want to see Justice Whitney kept on the bench and who will say so to-night are Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Frederic R. Condit, the Rev. Dr. Thomas R. Slater, Hamilton Holt, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia, Dr. Felix Adler, Robert W. De Forest, Isaac N. Seligman and Francis Lynde Stetson.

The list of speakers includes Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Some of the men who want to see Justice Whitney kept on the bench and who will say so to-night are Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Frederic R. Condit, the Rev. Dr. Thomas R. Slater, Hamilton Holt, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia, Dr. Felix Adler, Robert W. De Forest, Isaac N. Seligman and Francis Lynde Stetson.

The list of speakers includes Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Some of the men who want to see Justice Whitney kept on the bench and who will say so to-night are Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Frederic R. Condit, the Rev. Dr. Thomas R. Slater, Hamilton Holt, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia, Dr. Felix Adler, Robert W. De Forest, Isaac N. Seligman and Francis Lynde Stetson.

The list of speakers includes Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Some of the men who want to see Justice Whitney kept on the bench and who will say so to-night are Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Frederic R. Condit, the Rev. Dr. Thomas R. Slater, Hamilton Holt, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia, Dr. Felix Adler, Robert W. De Forest, Isaac N. Seligman and Francis Lynde Stetson.

The list of speakers includes Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Some of the men who want to see Justice Whitney kept on the bench and who will say so to-night are Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Frederic R. Condit, the Rev. Dr. Thomas R. Slater, Hamilton Holt, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia, Dr. Felix Adler, Robert W. De Forest, Isaac N. Seligman and Francis Lynde Stetson.

The Congress outlook must be pretty gloomy.

Ten years ago Frank Dwyer was one of the star baseball pitchers of the National League. As moundman for the Cincinnati Reds, Dwyer was known far and wide. Yesterday at the Democratic State headquarters a man looked up sharply with the remark, "What's Dwyer doing around here?" Dwyer explained that he's as active in politics now as he was in baseball years ago. He lives in Ontario, Ontario county, and he came to New York to report to Chairman Huppuch that the Republicans up that way who are going to vote for Dix are past counting. Dwyer has been hustling, he explained, and the indications are that the old time Republican majority in Ontario will be greatly reduced.

Nobody's job in this campaign is harder than John Hutchinson's. Hutchinson is director of the speakers' bureau at Republican State headquarters. The long distance phone yammers all day and half the night at his ear. County chairmen want the pick of the speakers and hint darkly that awful things may happen if John tries to put them off with a class B spouter. But Hutchinson leans gently toward the transmitter and tells the proud up-State that So and So one of the fillers in a pretty good talk of no particular fame is a wonder, a cracker-jack, a curly wolf, and that half the county chairmen of the State are vying for him. In half a minute the man at the other end of the wire is begging Hutchinson to let So and So get away. Never mind about Hutchinson, he shouts. Send So and So. And Hutchinson turns to his desk with a cheerful grin.

Henry H. Curran, the Republican and Independence League candidate against Charles V. Fornes in the Eleventh Congressional district, has raised his offer from \$1 to \$2 to any man who can prove that he has ever seen Fornes inside the district, and has renewed his offer of \$5 to any man who can show that Fornes's services in the House have benefited directly any one in the Eleventh. Curran raised the ante because not a soul showed up to claim the \$1 offer.

Charles H. Young, whose resignation from the Republican Club of which he had been president twice, led to the organization eventually of the Republicans all over the State who felt as he did that Stimson ought to be beaten as a rebuke to Col. Roosevelt, will speak for Dix to-day before the Commercial Travelers' League, Broadway and Great Jones street, and Mr. Young, who was part of his speech to Col. Roosevelt's abuse of the Federal courts.

Speakers for Michael F. Butler, candidate against William M. Calder in the Sixth Congressional district in Brooklyn, are telling the voters that if Stimson is elected Charles W. Morse will be pardoned. John C. Judge in a speech last night said that the national Republican campaign managers went to Atlanta to find if Morse's case couldn't be taken up for pardon and pardon and pardon and that it couldn't be taken up until January 1, 1911. Judge asserted that an understanding exists that Morse will be pardoned if Stimson wins.

The Anti-Trust League, which has been active in the fight against Col. Roosevelt and the Colonel's State ticket, put out a statement yesterday saying that the league would have urged the Colonel's impeachment for grossly improper and lawless acts if President Roosevelt's administration hadn't been wound up before the league could get action. The league presented, it says, bundles of evidence and convincing proof that several trusts had been broken up in the country. President Roosevelt and Attorney General Bonaparte paid no attention to the proofs.

The kosher butchers are for Dix. Their protective association, representing 2,000 men, held a meeting yesterday at the Great Central Palace, 32 Clinton street, and endorsed the Democratic candidate. The kosher butchers are mad at the Republican party because meat has gone so high they can't make a fair profit, so they say.

John G. Saxe, who is running against Senator Agnew in the Seventeenth Senate district, has put himself right with the City Club. The club wrote to all the candidates wanting to know how they stood on ballot reform. Saxe got his answer in first.

There is a letter for a man named Seth Low at the Republican State headquarters.

TREMBLING CUSTOMS CULPRIT

Had Four Jars of Durable Jam and a Small Piece of Cloth in His Trunk.

Moses Schneek, a Jewish tailor of 10 West 109th street, who arrived on Sunday by the Red Star liner Lapland from a fourteen months visit to his home in Austria, had a false bottom in his trunk under which were four jars of homemade jam and enough cloth to make one suit. The trunk was held and the tailor was told to appear before Special Deputy Surveyor George J. Smyth yesterday. He entered the office tremblingly with his daughter. She speaks better English than her father and did most of the explaining. The collector of the false bottom, she said, was to prevent the jam jars from being broken. It was thought that the cloth would steady the jars. The result was otherwise. The jam had become loose and the cloth, which was well stuck up, the tailor said he had no intent to do wrong, and as the cloth and the jam were all the durable things he had brought in it as decided to let them stay without the payment of duty.

LUCKY BALDWIN'S WIDOW

Named as Correspondent in Mrs. Howard's Divorce Suit.

L. S. ANGELS, NOV. 1.—Mrs. Lily Bennett Baldwin, widow of Lucky Baldwin, is named as correspondent in a divorce suit brought to-day by Anna M. Howard against William D. Howard, proprietor of the Howard Motor Car Company.

Mrs. Howard charges that her husband became infatuated with Mrs. Baldwin in July of last year, while she was here, and continually telephoned her from his business premises, visited with her at hotels, rooming houses and beaches, and spent much time in Mrs. Baldwin's home.

Last May Howard went East, his wife all the while being in New York. Baldwin at the Congress Hotel, Chicago, by Mrs. Howard's friends. In San Francisco, San Diego and Oakland, according to Mrs. Howard, her husband and the widow were together.

Arrested a City Marshal.

City Marshal Isaac Van Leer was arrested yesterday for trying to evict a policeman from 115 Second Avenue, which until it was raided ten days ago was the home of the Cottage Social Club.

In the Essex Market police court Magistrate O'Connor said that until the Supreme Court decided whether it was right for policemen to be stationed in gambling houses pending decisions he wouldn't interfere with the matter. He would not order the policeman to stay away, but discharge Van Leer.

Burglar Came Up the Dumbwaiter.

Louis Friedberg, an auctioneer, and his wife were away over Sunday from their flat at 904 Kreenman street, The Bronx. On Monday evening when they came home they found that four diamond rings, valued at \$350, had been taken, as well as a watch and a pair of cufflinks. The dumbwaiter door had been forced.

HE IS NOT ROOSEVELT'S MAN

DECLARES STIMSON BEFORE HE
EULOGIZES THE COLONEL.

Defends Hughes's Government Against the Charge of Extravagance and Praises the Tariff of the Taft Administration—Off for Up the State.

Henry L. Stimson began his second tour of the State at midnight and will make the first stop at Utica. Before going he spent the evening in Brooklyn and Queens, dining at the Union League Club, Bedford avenue and Dean street, in the former borough. He spoke at five meetings in Brooklyn, one at Richmond Hill in Queens and one in Flushing.

At Prospect Hall, Prospect place, near Fifth Avenue, Mr. Stimson was introduced to an audience of 2,500 persons by Secretary of State Koenig. He got a cordial welcome. The candidate spoke for nearly half an hour and departed in many particulars from his previous talks, declaring that if elected he would administer the office and duties of Governor according to his own conscience and the oath of office and that he would be "no man's man."

"They say you must not vote for Stimson because he is Roosevelt's man," Mr. Stimson told his hearers. "I want to make my position clear on that point. If they say that they mean that I admire the standards of courage and integrity which Theodore Roosevelt has maintained in this State for thirty years—if they mean that I admire the courage and integrity which he maintained as Assemblyman, as Civil Service Commissioner, as Police Commissioner, as Governor, as Vice-President, as President, and finally to-day as a private citizen—if that is what they mean and all they mean, then I am frank to say that I am Roosevelt's man and glad of it. But if on the other hand they mean something more than that, if they mean that if you would elect me to the great office of Governor I would administer that office according to any other standards than my own conscience and my own oath of office, then I say to you in all sincerity that I am not only not Mr. Roosevelt's man but I am not any one's man, and I ask you to take in proof of my word my record as United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York."

This statement was greeted with prolonged cheering.

The candidate took up the charge of extravagance against the administration of Gov. Hughes, saying: "Our opponents in their platform inveighed against what they called the extravagance of Gov. Hughes's administration. My first work was to call upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be willing to give up as a waste or extravagance. I have called upon them to do this, and they have failed to do so. They have said that the State made a mistake in grapple with the corporation problem, and I have endeavored to ascertain their attitude toward our new public service law. I have called upon them to specify any one of the great elements of progress made under him which they would be